IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Crl. Misc. No. 71002-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 08.01.2007

Smt. Santosh and another

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Haryana

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Partap Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

The petitioners, apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 446 dated 14.10.2006 under Sections 498A, 406, 323, 506, 120-B, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station City, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, have filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, who are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the complainant, have been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case, though they are living separate from their son and they have nothing to do with the alleged demand of dowry. He further contends that husband of the complainant was arrested and released on regular bail.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that in terms of the interim order dated November 14, 2006, the petitioners have joined the investigation. The State counsel does not dispute this fact.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits, the interim bail, granted vide order dated November 14, 2006, is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

January 08, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
ndj **JUDGE**